1873-74
(L.R.)

File No: 7 of 1873-74
Serial No: 1-12

Sub:- Proposal to write off Jodi amount uncollected on chor-Inams Nandi-droog Division

(9)

1873-74

G. R. S. IX-5]

Previous references.

File No. 7 of 187

191 .

Serial Nos. 1-12

(L. R.)

Later references.

L. R. of 1878

(Incomplete)

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. 1-12.

Major ~~Part~~ B for Dec. 75

SUBJECT.

Proposal to write off Jodi amount uncollected on Chos-Inams, Nandidoorg Division.

Indexed
P Bapaiah

W D 88—GPB—50,000—24-7-16

Revenue

1874.

No. 234

From The Commissioner
Nandidrug Division

To

Secretary to Chief Commissioner,
General Department.

Dated March 1874.

Despatched " "

Received " 1874.

Reply " "

Enclosures No. 3

General No.

R No. 2364

Section L.R
File 7673
Serial No 1

Requests sanction to the
write off of Rs. 1340.0.6
from the accounts of Joti
collected on Ch. Inams.

Bangalore
9th March 1874

Sir,

I have the honor to
forward herewith Mr. Inman's
letter, in which he requests
to be allowed to write
off the sum of
Rs. 1340.0.6 which has been
found to be wrongly
collected from the Joti in question on
the Inam of Ch. Nirtadi
Complt. under his operations
in Nandidrug

I have &c.

18/3/74

No. A 284

Nandidroog Division.
Commissioner's Office.

Bangalore 12th March 1874.

To,

The Secretary to the
Chief Commissioner of Mysore.

Sir,

With reference to the Chief Commissioner's Proceedings No. 711/27 dated 12th May 1873, regarding the uncollected amount of Jodi upon Chos. Evans, I have the honor to inform you that as reported in paras 256 and 257 of this Division's Administration Report for 1872.73, the amount of Rs: 1681 " 8 " 8 was brought on the Jamabundy accounts of the respective Districts and the Deputy Commissioners were instructed to carry out the Chief Commissioner's instructions as contained in para 5 of his order.

2. The accompanying statement shews the result of the reports received from the Deputy Commissioners of the Bangalore and Kolar Districts

Districts and under the circum=
=stances shewn, it is impossible
to recover the amount except
Rs: 38.2.5. I therefore request
the Chief Commissioner's sanc=
=tion may be obtained to the
sum of Rs: 1340.0.4 being
written off the accounts.

3. The Statement received
from the Toonkur District being
deficient in certain particulars
a correct statement has been
called for, which will be sub=
=mitted in due course.

4. The explanatory statements × the returns
received from the Bangalore
and Kolar districts are also
enclosed for the information
of the Chief Commissioner.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most Obt. Servant.

Commissioner

* Bangalore District — Rs: 45.12.7
Colar District Rs: 1299.7.6
Deficit the sum of Rs: 6.3.9
which has not been brought
on farmabundy account Rs: 129.4.3.9
Total Rs: 1340.0.4

934

74 No 7190
328

To

The Superintendent
Luam Settlements.

Section 2.R
File No 7.15 73
Serial No 2 Dated 31st March 1874
Resp 1 Appt

No 7190
No 328

Enquiring what orders have been passed with regard to arrears of jodi on Chôr Luams in Districts brought under the Luam Settlement operatio

Luams the same

31st March 1874

No 7190
R 528.

To
The Superintendent of
Duan Settlement

Sir,

I have the honor by direction of the Chief Commr to request that you may be so good as to report what orders have been passed by you with regard to arrears of Jodee remaining uncollected for a long time on the Duans in ~~the~~ ~~Talooks of~~ the districts brought under the Duan Settlt Operations.

I have &c:

Offg Secretary

See Chandidroog R. 2340 12/5/74.

1/24

1874.

From

The Superintendent of Inám Settlements.

To

The Secretary to the Chief Commissioner.

Section L.R
File No 7073
Serial No 3

Dated 2d
Despatched 3d
Received 3d
April

Enclosures No.

General No. 3 of 1874/75.

In reply to your letter No. 7190/328 of the 31st Ultimo, states that a report with regard to arrears of Jodi remaining uncollected on Chor inams will be submitted as soon as the replies are received from the Amils.

Ex Dufton
Ftonsa
3-4-74

Can many be filed with the order & which it is a reply.

10/4/74

Inam Settlement Office
Bangalore 2? April 1874.

No. 3.

To,

The Secretary to the
Chief Commissioner of
Mysore.

Sir,

In reply to your letter No. 7190/328 of the 31st Ultimo, I have the honor to state that a re-port with regard to arrears of Jodi remaining uncollected on Chor Inams will be submitted as soon as the replies are received from the Amils.

2. As the Sesamwar returns received from the Dy: Commissioners did not contain sufficient inform-ation, the Amildars were called upon to furnish further details, and reminders have been again des-patched this day to those who have not correctly responded.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant

J Puckle

Offg: Supt. of the Inam Settlt.

By

Revenue — No. 65.

1874.

From The Commissioner
Mandi Circle division

To

Secretary to Chief Commissioner,
General Department.

Dated 23rd June 1874.

Despatched " "

Received 24th " "

Regd 25th " "

Enclosures No.

Section 2, R
File No 7673
Serial No 4

General No. 15
No. 6 1874/75.

This Office Ero. 234 dated 12th March 1874, requesting sanction to write off Rs. 1340-4-4 from the accounts on account of Jody uncollected on Choor Inams of the Bangalore & Kolar dists.

Has the honor to solicit the favor of an early reply to the above and to request the Chief Commissioner's instructions whether the said amount should be brought into the Jamabundy of the year 1873-74 as the Deputy Commissioner of the Kolar district seems to have omitted this amount from his Jamabundy account under the impression that it came under a different heading as explained in the statement for remission.

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your most obedient servant
Commissioner.

To Draftsman

24-6-74.

The Commissioner may be informed that no reply can be sent to this letter upon receipt of the information called for on the subject from the Deputy Commissioner is received.

1/7/74

Rev 726/6/74

I
924

1874.

From.

To The Commr of the Nundidroog Divn

Dated 4th July

Despatched

Received

Enclosures No.

General No. 2552

R No. 70

Your Docket No 65 of the 23rd Ultimo. on the subject of Jodee uncollected on Chôr Inams of the Bangalore and Kolar Districts.

With reference to the above states that a reply to this Commrs letter No 234 dated 12th March last will be sent on receipt of certain information which has been called for on the subject from the Superintendt of Inam Settlement.

"By order"

Lee

Revenue

1875.

From The Commissioner
Northern Division

To Secretary to Chief Commissioner,
General Department.

Section
File No. 705 / 75
Serial No.
Dated 26 April 1875.
Despatched
Received 28 April 1875

ENCLOSURES NO. 14

General No.
No. 1795 } 1875.

This office No. 234 of 12th March 1875.

Solicits sanction to the uncollected Sooloor Choultries in the Shimoga Taluq to Rs. 305-6-11, and also the amounts in the Bangalore and Kolar Districts, Rs. 1340-1-4 being struck off the Accounts.

To Despatch
28-4-75

No. 33

Before striking off this portion of Balance of Rs 305-6-11 as irrecoverable as recommended by this Govt, I would recommend that the Inam Commissioner may be asked to report as to how he has disposed of this portion of the Inam settlement plan

Zoorkoor Choultry is reported to have been completed. Any portion may be required to state whether the Inamdar bearing this portion in question have been warned & this portion struck off, or the lands confirmed on the executing portion being ordered to be collected or otherwise disposed of by the Revenue authorities.

2 On a similar recommendation from this Govt made for remission of a portion of Balance of Rs 1340-1-4 in this Bangalore and Kolar districts in this letter Rs 234 of 12th March 1875 it was reported

12

Ord Brun Supt for report
on his Office letter 7/20
828
of 31st March 1874. Forwarded
Supt Brunner a copy
of the complaint
Journal from the Custom
and no answer has as
yet been received.

3 Ord Brun Supt may
be requested to expedite
the despatch of Brunner
report & letter with the
one asked for in paragraph I
of the relative to the
answer & forward to this Department
for Record. WH
4/5/76

Approved
Hastie letter
answered
4/5

No. R 17

Mundy droog Division
Commissioner's Office.
Bangalore 26th April 1875.

To,
The Secretary to the
Chief Commissioner of
Mysore.

Sir,

With reference to para 3 of this office No: 234 of the 12th March 1874, I have the honor to forward an Abstract Statement of uncollected Jodi on Shrotriem Inams in the Sunkur District amounting to Rs: 303-5-11 together with the copies of the marginally noted correspondence that passed between this Office and the Deputy Commissr of the Sunkur District on the subject, and to request that under the circumstances therein explained you will do me the favor of obtaining the Offg Chief Commissioner's sanction to this amount, as well as the amount in the Bangalore and Kolar Districts viz: Rs: 1340-4-4 noted in para 2 of the letter under reference, being struck off the accounts.

2- The explanatory Statement received from the Deputy Commissioner is also enclosed for information to be obligingly returned when

* From Comr Mundy droog Divn No: 446 of 21st April 1874.
" By Comr Sunkur. No Comr Mundydroog No: 27 of 12th April 1875.

when no longer required.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your Most Obed. Servant

Wm Kelly
Lt. Col. Commg. 14th

No. 446.

Nundydroog Division
Commissioner's Office
Bangalore 21st October 1874

To,

The Dy: Commissioner
Tumkur District.

Sir,

With reference to your docket No. 474 dated 8th Ultimo, submitting a detailed Statement of Thavanas, I have the honor to observe that a sum of Rs: 19-3-1 as particularized in the margin shewed it is stated be remitted but the grounds on which the remission is solicited are not given. This should however be done.

Muliyandroog - 1-13-7.
Kadak - 15-0-2
Turvekere - 2-5-4
19-3-1

2. In the late Muliyandroog Taluk a sum of Rs: 58-14-9 is stated to have been entered in the Jamabundy Accounts of 1872-73, and shewed be recovered; but from the calculations made in the Division Office the correct amount appears to be Rs: 53-1-4 as particularized in the Enclosed Abstract Statement.

If this sum of Rs: 53-1-4 is recoverable as shewn in the Statement, I request you will be so good as to inform me why the same was not recovered since in 1872-73 as directed

[illegible]

by the Chief Commissioner in his D.O. No. 711/A 27 dated 12th May 1873, copy of which was sent to you with this Office No: 107 dated 5th June 1873. If it cannot now be recovered the circumstances under which the remission is recommended should be stated.

An early reply is requested.

I have &c.
(Signed) J. L. Pearse.
Commissioner

No: 27.

Tinnevelly District
Dy. Commissioner's Office.
Veravanallur 12th April 1875.

To
The Commissioner
Hundred Division

Sir,

In reply to your letter No: 446 of the 21st Oct. 1874, I have the honor to state that the balance of Rs: 19-3-1 therein referred to should be struck out of the Accounts under the following circumstances —

Rupees:-15-4-2. Of the Kadaka Talook is the difference between the former Jodi, and the amount of reduced Quit-rent confirmed by the Board of Revenue.

Rupees-2-5-4. Of the Sunnekere Talug comprises 4-8 duets

the

Rupees 2-5-4. the above cause, and the balance is of uncultivable lands relinquished by "Kéré bundées"

Rupees 1-3-7. Of the Kuliyundra-a-g Talook, claimed be 1-7-4 vide item No: 4 below specified.

19-3-1

2. Rupees 55-1-4 of the late Kuliyundra-d-g Talook is incorrect vide annexed Statement of Account.

Rupees 81-4-8. Gross amount of the Inam as per Statement submitted from this Office.

68-7-8 Deduct.

*Rs: 14-10-2 Amount collected under the head "Nuzdiyat" } No: 1.

*Rs: 50-"-10. Amount confirmed during the Inam Settlement. } No: 1.

*Rs: 3-12-8. Amount collected from Ryots pending Inam Settlement. } No: 2

* 68-7-8.

Rs: 12-13-4. Balance irrecoverable and require to be struck off the Accounts.

11-5-8. Inams, the whereabouts of which are not known, and not traceable in Accounts } No: 3.

1-7-4. Amount never collected from Ryots, but entered in Accounts } No: 4.

12-13-"

3. A detailed explanatory Statement Statement is forwarded herewith for reference.

I have &c.
Signed / C. J. Pearse.
Dep: Commissioner

"Copy"

Shuckett
Offg: Commissioner

Abstract Statement of Taxes collected for Khondmals in the Ganjam District for 1872-73.

Talooks.	Amount of Taxes collected for the Year.	Amount collected under the head Rajabagat.	Amount collected on account of Kumeen Chargeable on Kumeen Rabbee.	Amount collected on account of Kumeen Chargeable on Kumeen Kharif.	Amount collected by Kumeen Sirk.	Amount due on Account of Taxes for road & other public purposes.	Amount deducted from the Gross Amount which is to be returned as Outstanding.	Deduct Amount for which Receipts have been granted.	Amount to be credited to the Year 1872-73.	Balance of Taxes in the Kumdoor Accounts for 1872-73.	Remarks.
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	
Chickiakolly	10 99 "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	"	10 99 "	" " "	10 99 "	" " "	
Kodi	25 125 1	3 " "	" " "	14 "	7 3	15 8 7	7 69	" " "	25 125 "	" " "	
Kuligumdy	81 48 8	14 " 3	135 " "	" " "	" " "	11 10 4	" " "	1 137	263 4 55 14		
Kadab	60 9 "	" " "	17 " "	" " "	" " "	28 8 10	" " "	15 " 260	9 " "	" " "	
Surukere	69 55	4 13	" " "	" " "	5 78	" 9 4	6 130	2 54	69 55	" " "	
Kunarally	55 12 8	15 10 2	" " "	" " "	4 1111	" " "	3 5 67	" " "	55 128	" " "	
Total	303 511	30 " 8	20 135	" 14 7	10 10 0	56	57	110 411	19 31	248 47	55 14

Received the above
Commrs Office.
Berhampore 1st Oct. 1874.

(Signed) H. Pearse
Commissioner

2. I am ~~to take this~~ Inclosed also ~~I~~ ~~beg~~ to
~~Opportunity~~ to invite your
attention to Col: Pinckle's
Despatch No 3 dated 2nd
April 1874 to this Office
and to request that the
report therein promised
may also be submitted.

I have &c.

Secretary

1875 No 7 30

To
Superintendent
Pulau Pinang Settlement.

9th May
1875
No. 984
R. 30

Requests a report as to how the uncollected Jodi on "Chor Inarus" in the Junction Deed was ~~dis~~posed of at the Inam Settlement.

Received July 1875

No 984.
R. 30.

9 7 May 1875.

To

The Superintendent of
Inam Settlement

Sir,

I am directed by
~~I have the honor by desire~~ of the Chief Commr
to request that you ~~may be so good as to~~ report
how the uncollected
Jodi on "Chor Inams" in
the Toomcoor district was
disposed of at the Inam
Settlement, stating whether
the Inams bearing the
Jodi in question were
resumed and the Jodi
struck off, or whether the
lands were confirmed
on the Existing Jodi
leaving the arrears to be
collected or otherwise
disposed of by the Revenue
authorities.

From Commr Nundedurg Dr
No 2 dated 8 April 75 No 17

1875.

To, The Superintendent of
Inam Settlement.

Dy 2d June 75 July 1875.

No. 2801
R. 79

This office letter No. 984
R 30
dated 7th ultimo,
requesting to report how
the uncollected Jodi
on "Chavans" in the
Vaukies district was
disposed of at the Inam
settlement.

Requests that he will
expedite the submission
of the report called
for in the above -

"By order"

Secretary

Item LR
No 76673
Jal No 8

1/15

Transmitted 31-8-75

Inam Settlement Office
Camp Jangamkóte
Dated 8th July 1875.

94

To

The Secretary
to the Chief-Commissioner
of Mysore—

Sir,

In reply to your Docket No. 2801/79 of the 2d Instant, I have to apologize for the delay in submitting the report, and to state that it has been due to the necessity of scrutinizing all such cases of the Tumkur and Kolar Districts, with the view of affording a full reply to your Docket No. 739/14 of the 13th May 1873, and of contrasting the results of our settlement with the figures shewn in the Extract forwarded therewith.—

2. This scrutiny is now being made and I hope shortly to submit the results; but, in the meantime, I have the honor to offer the following remarks on the mode of treatment adopted by this Department in the disposal of the uncollected Jodi on Chor Inams.—

3 As far as I can ascertain the following courses were adopted in the Kolar District, Viz:-

(a) The old Jodi was brought on the Register and re-imposed in full.-

(b) In some Taluks the old Jodi was not brought on the Register: by the Assistants, because it had never been collected. In such cases there had been no means of checking these Registers with the Vernacular accounts until I obtained permission to form an Accounts Department for this express purpose, when I was officiating as Inam Commissioner.

(c) In some cases the Inam itself was not brought on the Register, as it had already been treated as Kandayem Land, though the Jodi was not deducted in the Jodi Accounts.-

(d) In some char cases, the whole Village in which they were located had been granted subsequently as Inam, and they were consequently not brought on our Register, as the Jodi had become payable to the Jodidar of the

the whole Village. 96

(2) In those Cases in which the Jodi now paid was more, or less, than the old Jodi, the existing state of affairs was confirmed.—

4. In the Kolar District the old Jodi was re-imposed in full in almost all cases.—

I have the honor to be

Sir

Your Most Obedient Servant

Superintendent of Inam Settlt

3471
101

From

To To The Siam Supdt.

Dated
Despatched 4 24 July
Received

ENCLOSURES NO.

General No. 3471
No. 101

Your letter No 37 of the 8th July 1875 reporting on the subject of the uncollected Jos on Chinese Inams in the Tomcow District.

Sir Harry Parkes has the honor to request that the Siam Supdt will be so good as to explain the meaning of clause (c) of para 3 of this letter, which is not clear

"By order"

Secretary

1875.

From

The Superintendent of the Inam Settlement.

To

Secretary to Chief Commissioner, General Department.

Camp Velavangalam

Dated 14

Despatched 15 September

Received 2 Oct.

Oct. 2 a 7

ENCLOSURES NO. 1

General No. 72. } 1874,75
No.

In reply to Sr. No. 3471/101 of the 24th July 1875 Submits a statement showing how the un-collected Jodi on Chor inams in the Tuticorin District have been dealt with by this Department.

To
The Collector
Tuty
2-10-75

Rec'd 14/9/75

Replied to
4/2/75

Rec'd 2/10/75.

Tumkuru District.

Chicka-nayaka-halli.	Kittur	Kondalu	Shanmukha-deekshita.	Brahma-daya or Personal	22	15	8	12

94

Honna-valli.	Honnavalli	Bettanna worshiper of Bhyredevar.	Deva-daya.	4	11	9		
Do	Do	Siddaviraiya worshipper of Karididdesvara Dewar.	Do	7				
Do	Do	Hoocha	Badi-giah	1				

Honnavalli.

"	"	5	"	10	The holder died in Doondoleir A.D. 1862 and his wife resigned the Inam.	"	"	"	Not brought on Register.
"	"	"	9	4	Died in 1856 & the land is lying waste.	Regr. No. 99 case No. 4	6	5	6	The land on which 9-4 is due, is omitted, & the balance confirmed on Jodi Rs: 6-5-6.
"	"	15	10	2	The whereabouts of the dry land is not known and the wet land has been granted to Killedar Hyderkhan	Regr. No. 71 case No. 7.	"	"	"	This is reserved for survey, and the Inam Register contains a remark that the Inam was resumed long ago by Revenue authorities.
"	"	1	7	3	The land is quite unfit for cultivation as it is covered with date trees.	Regr. No. 23 case No. 1.	"	"	"	Resumed & incorporated with Govt. land.
"	"	1	5	9	The decrease is owing to a less number of trees when counted in 1856	Regr. No. 18 case No. 12	"	14	"	The full Jodi as per state Inam account is Rs 2-3-9 of which the amount Rs 1-5-9, remitted by Rev. Department is deducted, & the balance only imposed

6	7	10	9	9	Rs: 10-9-9 have been remitted by the late Supt.t Major Dobbs in 1858 as the No. of Arecanut trees is less than the No. entered in the old accounts. order No. 179 of 12-2-1858.	Regr. No. 38. Case No. 7.	12	6	7	Rs. 10-9-9, remitted by Revenue authorities is omitted, and the balance only imposed as godi.

	4	11	9	Godi remitted on complaint of Inamdar, in 1857, by the Supt.t during the Jamabandy time, as the rate of the land is high.	Regr. No. 5. case No. 5.	23	9	11	The full godi of this Inam as per Estate Inam account is Rs. 27-11-11, of which 4-11-9, remitted by Revenue Department is deducted, and the balance only imposed.
	7	2	11	This was struck off as the road to Gandasi runs over this land.	Regr. No. 9 case No. 12.	29	3	9	The full godi is Rs 36-5-8 of which 7-2-11, remitted by Rev: Department is deducted.
	1	2	8	The holder died in 1856 and the land has been since lying waste.	Regr. No. 99 case No. 3.	2	9	10	Godi Rs 1-2-8, has been remitted by Revenue Department on dry land, by accepting the resignation, and the same is now deducted and the balance only imposed as godi.

Honnavalli	Honnavalli	Erappa	Iron Smith	5	—	10	—
Do.	Do.	Chenna	Do.	—	9	4	—
Do	Do.	Kencha & Chikka	Toti	15	10	2	—
Late Chowli Halu now Halkuri Ki.	Chowlihalli	Anjaneya Devar	Devadaya	1	7	3	—
Do.	Ghattakanakere	Someswara Devar Halleswara Devar	Do.	1	5	9	—

Honnavalli

Tippa-tur	Goravagondana-halli	Rangaswami Dewar	Deva-daya	3	5	10	"

93.

Do	Maranagere	Veerabhadra Dewar	Do	"	14	6	"
Do	Huregodi	Senkaraiya	Shan-bogue	6	1	9	4
Do	Sivara	Ranga	Badagi Iranjan	2	5	3	"
Do	Maddalébalalli	Paradési	Do	4	12	10	"
Do	Do	Ranga	Salar	1	11	11	"
Do	Do	Chennabasavah	Hastantri	"	9	4	"

			The decrease is owing to the new road to Turnkere having been taken over this land	Regr No 852 Case No 96		13	8	3	The full Jodi is Rs 16-14- of which the remitted amount Rs 3-5-10, is deducted and the balance only imposed.
		3	5	10					
		14	6		The decrease is owing to the construction of Travellers Bungalow on the land.	Regr No 850 case No 4		13	5	Full Jodi is Rs 1-11-11 but the amount Rs 14-6 permitted by Rev Authorities is deducted, and balance only imposed.
		1	2	2	This was struck off in the year 1855 as the Inamdar Complained that the rate is high.	Regr No 88 case No 1		13	10	9	Reserved for Survey Settlement.
		2	5	3	Died and the land lying waste	Regr No 111 case No 2					Resumed.
		4	12	10	Struck off as the Inamdar declined to retain the land	Regr No 113 case No 2		4	12	10	Struck off.
		1	11	11	Attended.	Regr No 82 case No 4		1	11	11	The Inam is reserved for Survey & Settlement but a remark has been made on the Register that there is no body for Service.
		9	4		Died in 1845, this son is now at Turnkere and wishes to have the	Regr No 113 case No 8					Struck Off.

Tippa-tur	Karade	Kempa Sidda Setty		2	14	8	a-
		&ca					
				59	13	10	4
Tumkur Hare	Settihalli	Vijaya Lakshmi Devar	Deva-daya	1	11	11	a
Do	Madihalli	Hanumantaraya-swami.	Do	1	7	4	a

		2	14	8	Struck off in the year Nala as the land was waste	Regr No 82 case No 293	Reserved for Survey & settlement, but the Jodi is not under collection as per remarks in Col: 9.
1	12	55	12	8	Particulars of settlement of Inam Department		
					Cases	11	43 Jodi resumed and struck off cases.
						3	8 2 1 Jodi reduced by Rev: Department by reasons as it is originally imposed highly.
						2	4 10 7 Jodi on cases reserved for Survey settlement
						16	55 12 8
		1	11	11	The decrease is owing to the land being waste since the year Nala	Regr No 8 case No 3	5 3 10 The full Jodi of this Inam as per stall Inam account is Rs: 6-15-8 but in 1857 the revenue authorities remitted Rs: 1-11-11, on account of land unfit for cultivation, and balance 5-3-10 only collected, which is imposed.
		1	7	4	The decrease is owing to the land being waste since the year Paradhami, 1853.	Regr No 23	Not brought on the Register as Inam

Turne Kere	Chandoor	Vivahitha Devaradevaru	Deva daya	u	4	8	u	
		37						
Do	Pure	Tirumaladevaru	Do	2	3	u	u	
Do	Halikere	Kempaunnadevaru	Do	u	4	8	u	
Do	Do	Tirumaladevaru	Do	u	4	8	u	

Turne Kere

"	"	4	8	are accrean owing to the land being waste since Fasla 1857.	Regr 18-13 case 344	The full Jodi as per account is Rs " -9-4, but in 1857 the Revenue Department reduced the Jodi by " -4-8 and collected only " -4-8, which is imposed.
"	"	2	3	do -do- do	Regr 19-9 case No 1	1 11 11 The whole Jodi on dry & wet is Rs 3-12-6 but the wet was resumed and it is not brought on Regr and the Jodi thereon viz Rs 2-" -7 is omitted & balance imposed on dry.
"	"	"	4	8 do do do Fasla 1857.	Regr 19-21 case No 2	3 7 10 The full Jodi as per stall Jaum account is Rs 3-12-6 but the Revenue authorities permitted " -4-8 in 1857 & collected only 3-7-10 which is imposed.
"	"	"	4	8 Do Do	Regr 19-21 case No 1	2 14 7 Do Rs 3-3-3 but in 1855 the Revenue Department permitted " -4-8 & 2-14-7 are only collected which is imposed during the Jaum settlement.

Dandi- tivara	Balamadi- balli	Rajaneyadevar	Deva- daya	"	4	8 "
Do	Bichanahalli	Brindavana	Do	"	14	1 "
Do	Do	Rangaswami	Do	"	4	7 "
Do	Bhuntanahalli	Byaturayaswami	Do	"	4	8 "
	Do	Kalledevar	Do	2	"	7 "

34

			The decrease	Regr No 23 Case 2				This has been struck off, as it was already added to Government Ayakat
"	"	4	8	is owing to the land having been given on Kandayam.				
			Struck off in the year Kala = Gookti.	Regr No 36 Case No 1.	1	11	11	The full Jodi is Rs 2-9-11 but A"-14-1 remitted in 1859 by Revenue Authorities only Rs 1-11-11 Collection and this amount is adopted.
"	"	4	7	Do.	Regr No 36 Case No 2	"	10	6 The full Jodi is Rs"-15-2, but A"-4-7 remitted as stated above A"-10-6 only collected, which is adopted during this settlement.
"	"	4	8	Do.	Regr No 38 Case No 1.	1	11	11 The full Jodi is Rs 2"-7 but the Revenue authorities remitted in 1859 "-4-8 and collect 1-11-11 only, which is adopted.
"	"	2	"	7	The land was given on Kanda = yem as the temple is in Ruined Condition	Regr No 38 Case No 2	"	" Struck off as it has been already resumed by Revenue authorities.

Dabbe=gatte	Dabbegatta	Chennigraya=swami	Deva=daya	1	14	3	u
Do	Do	Marenna	Do	u	2	4	u
Do	Balagunji	Narsimhva=Devar	Do	2	u	8	u
Do	Gowdarahalli	Basavannali	Do	u	4	8	u
Do	Honnasettihalli	Cadalaisawana	Do	u	2	4	

"	"	"	9	4	Struck off in 1858 the rate of assessment being high.	Regr No 67 Case No 4	1	12	"	Full Jodi Rs 2-5-4, but remitted in 1858, " 9-4 and balance 1-12- has been collected and fixed as Jodi.
"	"	1	6	7	Do	Do	Regr No 67 Case No 2	2	10	"	Full Jodi 4-" -7, but in 1858, remitted 1-6-, & balance collected 2-10- which has been imposed as Jodi.
"	"	"	5	"	Do	Do	Regr No 67 Case No 3	"	2	4	Full Jodi " -7-4 of which remitted in 1858 " -5- & balance is collected 0-2-4, the same has been imposed as Jodi.
"	"	"	4	8	Do	Do	Regr No 67 Case No 1	"	9	2	The full Jodi is " -14- but remitted in 1858 " -4-8, and balance collected " -9-2 is imposed as Jodi.
"	"	"	5	10	Do	Do	Regr No 79 Case No 1	11	3	1	The full Jodi as per account is 10-14-7 but collected Rs 11-3-1, which is adopted as Jodi.
"	"	"	9	4	Struck off in 1844.		Regr No 79 Cases 1.				Resumed.
"	"	1	2	8	The land is being waste.		Regr No 106 Cases 1.	16	10	9	There is a remark that the wet was resumed in 1860 & proportionate Jodi remitted and the balance only now adopted as Jodi.

Konivina Here	Barjagoor.	Kalleswara	Devadaya	1	7	3	-
45							
Do	Do	Anjaneya & Chinnigaraya	Do	1	7	3	
Do	Do	Balekarenma	Do	-	9	4	
Do	Siddaramanapalya	Do	Do	-	4	8	-
Do	Yachahalli	Anjaneyaswami	Do	1	2	8	-
Do	Bastihalli	Basavannah	Do	2	12	2	-
Keechanoor	Kodihalli	Bhoiredevaru	Do	-	4	8	-

"	1	7	3	Struck off in 1844 the rate being high.	Rev No 2097 Case No 2	"	14	"	The full jodi is Rs 2-5-3 of which Rs 1-7- permitted by Revenue authorities in 1857, and balance -14- only collected, the same has been adopted as jodi.
"	1	7	3	Do	Do	Rev No 97 Case No 3	"	14	"	Do full jodi is 2-5-3 of which permitted in 185, 1-7-3, balance -14- is collected the same has been adopted as jodi
"	"	"	9	4	Do	Do	Rev No 97 Case No 1	1	11	11	The full jodi is Rs 2-5-3 of which Rs -9-4 is permitted by Revenue authorities in 1857, & 1-11-11 collected the same has been is imposed as jodi in this settlement.
"	"	"	4	8	Do	Do	Rev No 88 Case No 8				Resumed.
"	"	1	2	8	Do	in 1847					Not brought on Rev
"	"	2	12	2	Do	in 1844	Rev No 86 Case No 2				Resumed
"	"	"	4	8	Do	in 1856	Do No 53 Case No 1	"	4	8	Resumed and is added to Government Ryotwat.

46

Hecha-noor	Ayaraballi	Kalleswara	Deva-daya	u	4	8	i

47

Do	Kallasettiballi	Hanumantaraya-Swami	Do	u	4	8	u
Do	Purah	Marlumah	Do	u	4	0	u
Do	Bididawastona	Kodebasavana	Do	5	7	8	u
Koni-vina-Kere	Ichaiahalli	Sitaramajois	Panchanga	u	4	8	u
Dabbe-Kutte	Aladahalli	Do	Do	u	2	4	u
Do	Malainahalli	Do	Do	u	2	4	-

"	6	"	4	8	Struck off in 1856 the rate being high.	Reg. no 48 Case no 2 " 4 8

48

The full Jodi is " 9-4 of which " 4-8 Jodi now imposed on dry & the wet in am is long ago resumed & the Jodi thereon viz " 4-8 remitted by Revenue authorities.

"	"	4	8	Do	— Do	Dc no 54 Case no 2

Resumed

"	"	14	"	Do	in 1853	

Not brought on Register.

"	5	7	8	Struck off, as a Bungalow was built on the land.		

Do "

"	"	4	8	The land being waste.		

The Jama is not brought on Regr "

"	"	2	4	Do	— Do	Do no 65 Case no 1 " 1 2

The Jodi " 2-4 is remitted in 1854 on the land, which is unfit for cultivation is incorporated with Government land. The Jodi " 1-2 now imposed is on the retained land only.

"	"	"	2	4	Do	— Do Do no 64 Case no 2 " 7 "

There is a remark on the Regr that the land H-C-P " — " — 8 has been resumed and " 2-4, the Jodi thereon was remitted

Dabbe Katte	Gorlamuttu	Sitaramajois	Panchangi	"	24 "

49

Do	Bichanahalli	Do	Do	"	12 "
Do	Pura	Do	Do	"	24 "
Do	Marnahally	Do	Do	"	14 "
Dandina Sivara	Sasalu	Moodah	Iron Smith	"	7 "

"	" 2 4	Struck Off in 1854.	Reg. No 65 Case No 4	" 2 4	The full Jodi is " 4-8 but 1/2 of the Jodi permitted on 1/2 land incorporated to Government.
"	" 1 2	Do Do	Do No 78 Case No 2	" 2 4	This Jodi is fixed on the retained land, but Jodi " 1-2 permitted in 1854 for the land unfit for cultivation, which is incorpo=rated with Govern=ment land.
"	" 2 4	Do in 1856 the land being waste.	Do No 65 Case No 1	" 1 2	The Jodi " 2-4 was permitted on the land unfit for cultivation and consequently added to Govt. the Jodi has been fixed on the remaining land.
"	" 1/4	Do in 1859			Not brought on Register.
"	" 7/0	The land being waste.	Do No 127 Case No 1	" 1/4 "	Full Jodi is 1-5 " but the Rev: Authorities permitted 7-0 on the land being submerged by water and the balance is only confirmed.

Dandina Sivara	Jakkanna	Moodah	Iron Smith	1	4	0
			51				
Do	Hoolikere	Do	Do	1	8	0
Do	Beechana	Mallah	Do	1	2	8	0
Heecha=nur	Hichnoor	Goattama	Bran=hogue	6	11	9	0
Do	Hechihalli	Goattamah	Do	0	10	6	0

	1	4	The land being waste.	Do Rs 127	1	3		The full jodi is 2-4-7 but the Revenue Authorities remitted 1-4 and collect 1-3, which is only adopted as jodi.
	1	8	Do — Do	Do Rs 126 Case 1	15	11		The full jodi is Rs. 2-4-7, but Rev: Authorities remitted 1-8 in 1857 and collect 15-11 only, which adopted as jodi. —
	1	2 8	Do — Do	Do Rs 126 Case No 2	9	4		The full jodi is Rs 1-12-4 but Rev: Authorities remitted 1-2-8 in 1859 & collect only 9-4, which adopted as jodi.
	6	11 9	Struck off in the year Rakta = Kshi as the rate was high.	Do Rs. 145 Case No.	13	10	1	Reserved for Survey Settlement A. The jodi 6-11-9 was remitted by Rev: Department as per remarks in Col: 9. & the balance only is collected & the same adopted. Not brought on Register.
		10	6 The village was diserted.					

Nechiagnur	Kanchagatta	Poottamale	Shanbogue	u	12	6	u
53								
Do	Hoochagowdana halli	Do	Do	1	2	8	u
Do	Chennahalli	Do	Do	u	4	8	u
Do	Lammanahalli	Do	Do	u	4	8	u
Turve Kere	Somenahalli	Kulvadi Byatiga	Kulvadi	u	2	5	u

"	"	"	16	The land being waste & unfit for cultivation	Regr 20/46 Case No 1	1	7	4	Reserved for Survey, Settlement & the full Jodi is 2-4-2 but Jodi " 12 10 is remitted on waste land by Revnue Department & Collected 1-7-4 only, which is adopted.
"	"	1	28	Do — Do	Do 20/46 C. No 1	1	"	3	Do & the full Jodi 2-2-11 for which Revn: authorities remitted on dry waste " 12-8 & Collect balance 1-" -3 which is adopted.
"	"	"	4	8 Do — Do	Do 20/48 C. No 1	"	4	8	Do and the full Jodi noted on Regr but contain a remark that the place of Inam land is not known & Jodi not collected. — Not brought on Regrs but the Inam is stated as resumed by Revn: Department long ago.
"	"	"	4	8 Do — Do					
"	"	"	25	The land being waste.	Do 20/49 C. No 1	"	11	9	Reserved for Survey, but the collection only imposed as Jodi.

Turnekere	Bevinahalli	Kulvadi	Byatiga	Kulvadi	u	11	9 u
Do	Neergoonda	Do		do	3	3	1 u
Do	Mudihalli	Do		Do	2	14	9 u
Do	Marchanahalli	Byatigah		Kulvadi	u	14	u u
Do	Kolikere	Beloorah		Do	u	13	6 u

"	"	"	11	9	The land being full of hollows and unfit for cultivation	Regd. 152 C.2 p. 142.	6	4	Reserved for survey & the full jodi on the inam is Rs. 6-15-9 but Rs. -11-9 remitted in 1860 by Revenue authorities on land washed by flood, and they collect, the balance only; which is adopted.
"	"	3	3	1	The land being waste since 1851.	D. 2 p. 150 C. 2 p. 2	4	1	2 D° and the full jodi as per stall account is Rs 7-4-3, but the Revenue authorities remitted 3-3-1 in 1850/1 and collect only 4-1-2; which is now adopted.
"	"	2	14	7	This individual absconded in 1847.	D° p. 152			This case was not brought on Register.
"	"	"	14	"	The land being quite unfit for cultivation	D. 2 p. 153 C. 2 p. 1.	1	7	3 Reserved for survey settlement the collection only noted as jodi.
"	"	"	13	6	Struck off in 1857 the rate being high.	D. 2 p. 149 C. 2 p. 1.	8	7	6 D° & the full jodi is Rs 9-5-" of which " 13-6 remitted by Revenue in 1855 and they collect only 8-7-6, which is imposed now as jodi.

Turne-kere	Sasalu	Manevrah	Kulvadi	u	9	4 u
Do	Sidapura	Timmagiriya	Do	u	3	6
Do	Arekere	——	Do	u	9	4
Dabbe-Katte	Lachmidevihalli	Giddahanuma	Do	1	2	8
Turne-kere	Gudenahalli	Do	Do	u	7	u
Do	Gundalapurah	Do	Do	3	7	9
Do	Keechamarnahalli	Do	Do	u	9	4
Do	Bundihosahalli	Do	Do	1	2	1

"	"	9	4	Struck off in 1855 the land being waste	Regr. No 142 C. No 1.	"	9	4	Reserved for Survey the full Jodi is 1-2-8, but " 9-4 permitted by Rev: Authorities in 1855 and they Collect only " 9-4, which is adopted
"	"	3	6	Do — Do	Do No 142 C. No 1	"	3	6	Do, full Jodi " 7- 4 permitted in 1855 " 3-6 balance " 3 which is adopted.
"	"	"	9	4	The whereabouts of the land is not known.	Do No 142 C. No 1	1	11	11	Do full Jodi 2-5-3 and permitted " 9-4 Balance collected 1-11-11; which is adopted.
"	"	1	2	8	The Inamdar absconded in 1839.	—				Not brought on Register.
"	"	"	7	"	Do — Do	Do No 149 C. No 1	"	14	"	Reserved for Survey Settlement. The full Jodi is Rs 1-5-", but " 7- " permitted by Revenue Authorities the balance only 0-14-0 under collection which is imposed as Jodi.
"	"	3	7	9	Struck off in 1857	—				These villages have not been brought on the Register.
"	"	"	9	4	Do in 1831					
"	"	1	2	7	The village was deserted					

Dabbe= =katte	Toorkoor.	Giddahanumaiah Kulvadi	1	4	11	"
	Vittala= devarahalli	Do	Do	"	4	8
Hecha= nur.	Kalloo Setti= =halli.	Do	Do	1	2	8
				69	5	5

"	"	1	4	11	Struck off in 1858	Regr 20/136 C.204	2	9.11

Reserved for Survey Settlement, the Jodi 2-9-11, c under Collection is only noted on Register.

60

"	"	"	4	8	Do " in 1857	Do no 136 C.201	1	7 3

Do — Do.

"	"	1	2	8	The land being waste	Do no 147 C.201	1	9 4

Do — Do

⊕ Particulars of this Settlement. Rs as P. 38-15-11 Jodi on resumed and struck off 4 cases 35

6-14-3. Accepted the 2nd Jodi, which already reduced by Revenue Department on account of the [illegible] the former high rate. Cases 10.

41-3. Do-Do. on account of its being unfit for cultivation 7.

1-6-" Jodi on cases resumed for Survey Settlement. 76.

69-5-5. Total cases 68.

"	"	69	5	5⊕				

Kallambellu	Halavalli	Gidda hanumah	Merguti	1	1	6 a
Do	Karidigere in Regr Kadavagere	Do	Do	1	1	6 a
Do	Kallambalu	Do	Do	1	1	5 a
Do	Chikkana halli	Do	Do	1	1	5 a
Do	Do	Do	Dholi	a	11	8 a
Do	Do	Chenna Viraiya	Jangam	1	14	6 a
Kadur	Hiroor	Rangavattia Swami	Deva daya	2	9	9 a

"	1	16	Agreeably to Regr the verbal instructions of the Superintendt of the late Chittledroog Dn	Dr 78 C 21	"	5	10	Reserved for survey, there is a remark that the Jodi of 1-7-3 has been reduced to 5-10 in 1854 by Major Dobbs.
"	1	16	Do — Do	Dr 78 C 21	"	5	10	Do — Do — Do
"	1	15	Do — Do	Dr 78 C 21	"	5	11	Do — Do — Do
"	1	15	Do — Do	Dr 78 C 21	"	5	10	Do — Do
"	11	8	Do — Do	Dr 78 C 22	2	15	"	Full Jodi mentioned as collected.
"	1	14	6 on account of the land being taken for road.	—				Not brought on Register.
"	2	9	9 on account of the land being with full of hollows and unfit for Cultivation	Dr 79 C 21				The Inam land on which the Jodi is remitted, has been incorporated with Govt land in 1858 and the balance only confirmed

Sadur	Hosur	Do Name	Carpenter	"	14	7 "
Do	Do		Do	15	4	1 "
		Total	—	25	12	5 "

Yadava =ravahalli	Yadavanahalli	—	Kerebandi	17	7	4	"
Kallavr	Kallavr	—	Do	5	13	2	"
Do	Chilagondana =halli.	—	Do	8	11	8	"
Do	Kachiballi	Ramanja Off^{ca}	Shanbogue	"	11	8	"
Do	Belvor	Do	Do	"	1	2	8 "
Do	Kallahalli	Do	Do	"	14	"	"
Do	Bochihalli	Do	Do	"	14	"	"
Do	Benakanagandi	Do	Do	"	12	8	"

Carried

"	"	2	1/4	7	The where-abouts of the land is not known.	Reg. No. 75 — Not brought Register.
"	15	4	1		No reference is forthcoming as to the holder of the inam	Do
	25	12	5			Particulars of settlement 20-10-11 Jodi on Resumed, and struck off the cases 4. " -11-8. Jodi re-imposed. 4-5-10 Jodi on, cases reserved for Survey 4. 25-12-5. — 9
"	"	1	7	4	Resumed during the Inam Settlement & and the amount was not recovered formerly.	Not brought on Register as it was already added to the Govt land.
"	"	5	13	2		
"	"	8	11	8		
"	"	"	11	8		Do — Do.
"	"	1	2	8	These amounts have not been recovered since 1877 as they were not shown in the Inam Settlement accounts.	R. No. C. No 155.17 — Resumed for Survey settlement. No institution of Jodi in the stall Inam account not collected.
"	"	"	14	"		155.18 — Do — Do
"	"	"	14	"		155/5 — Do — Do
"	"	"	12	8		155a 3 — Do — Do

Yadavanahalli	Harivesandra	Gondy	Toty	u	9	4	u
Do.	Varahasandra	Dasa	Do	u	14	7	u
Do	Kanagatta	Narasah	Do	u	7	u	u
Do	Gangasandra	—	Talwar	u	9	4	
Mayasandra	Yerranahalli	—	Toti	1	11	11	u
	Byadaru-Mallenahalli	—	Do				
	Gadainhalli	—	Do	1	2	8	u
	Ariyur	Madlagere	Nirganti	1	2	8	u
	Kottihalli	Chikka	Do	u	9	4	u
	Bellavati	Ramah	Do	1	11	9	u

Cudale.

				R.No	C.No			
"	"	"	9	4	15495	"	9	4	Reserved for Survey settlement, Jule Jodi noted on Register.
"	"	"	14	7	15511				Reserved for Survey no Jodi in the account not collected.
"	"	"	7	"	15513				Do — Do
"	"	"	9	4					This is a Jodi village and no excluded minor inam in it. and the Talyari in question has been granted by Jodi dar. therefore not recognized separately by Govt.
"	"	1	11	11					Not brought on Register as it was already added to Government
No. of years Omitted									Do — Do.
		1	2	8	15659	"	9	4	Reserved for Survey & settlement, no Jodi inter Clear account of 1847 Cent " 9 4 entered as per collection.
"	"	1	2	8	15621	"	"		Do — Do — no Jodi as per chowkee inam account of 1847
"	"	"	9	4					Not brought on Register.
"	"	1	11	9					Do — Do

Maya= Sandra	Ramalagara	Varada	Virginia	2	14	7	"
Do	Chikka= Settikere	Honna	Has= tantri	"	9	4	"
Marina= Kere	Devanaya= Kanaballi	Ganga	Hajam	"	9	4	"
Do	Mudimarna= halli	Do	Do	"	14	"	"
Gubbi	Amani Sauk	Kuchapanee	Toti	4	5	10	"
	Name not given			5	14	2	"
				60	9	"	

Madras.

"	2	14	7		15674	Reserve D. on Jodi in Inam accounts of 1847, not Collected
"	"	9	4		15563	Do — Do
"	"	9	4		212 p 2	As below.
"	"	14	"		212 p 7	Confirmed free as it is not mentioned as a Jodi Inam, in the Cha Inam accounts.
"	4	5	10			Reserve D for Surv
"	5	14	2			Not brought to Rec
	60	9	"			Particulars
				42	11 "	Jodi on Resumed Utrus of 48 Inams — 8
				15	13 4	Do on Inams reserved for Survey Settlement
				"	9 4	Jodi permitted on one subsequent Inam in a Jodi Village 1
				1	7 4	Jodi already permitted by Revenue Depart= ment in the subsequ Inam accounts 2
				60	9 "	cases 2.

68

Hunaganahalli	Basavanna	Devadeya	u	9	4	u
Mavatur	Notgiver	Carpenter	3	7	10	u
Aroyalya	Sujaneya Swami	Deva daya	1	2	8	u
Hundlecuppa	Timmah	Toty	u	4	8	u
Kabbalii	Do	u	u	4	8	u
Sivanahalli	Basavannah	Deva daya	1	9	8	u
Singonahalli	Marienna	Do	u	9	4	u
Gumma naikna =halli	Basavannah	Do	u	11	8	u
Kenkeri	Do	Do	1	2	8	u
Madigenahalli	Narasimha Devar	Do	u	14	u	u
Halavoor	Hoocha	Hajam	u	4	8	u
Koppa Koppa	Puttah	Bastantri	1	13	2	u
Husagavi	Bhaire devar	Deva daya	u	9	4	u
Unkasapura	Toty	Toty	1	7	4	u
Huggihally	Do	Do	1	2	8	

Kadiguradaviga
Yaraba Hobli

Reg^r No 5 C.No 1.	"	9	4				Full jodi imposed
D.No 50 C.No 1.	3	7	10				Do.
Do No 17 C.No 1	1	2	8				Full jodi imposed.
Do No 157 C.No 1	"	4	8				Reserved for Survey settlement Full jodi re-imposed.
Do No 449 C.No 1	"	2	4				Do. the ~~Full~~ jodi only 2-4 is entered, in the Full in our account and ~~Chittal~~ which is noted.
Do No 4 C.No 1	1	2	8				The jodi 1-2-8 is adopted as per chitta and not Rs 1-9-8.
Do No 64 C.No 2	"	9	4				Fully re-imposed.
							Not brought on Reg^r as it was already added to Government.
Do No 7 C.No 1	1	2	8				Fully re-imposed
Do No 7 C.No 1	"	9	4				The jodi in chitta is "-9-4, which is only imposed.
							Not brought on Reg^r as it was already added to Govt Land.
Do No 170 C.No 2							The dry inam was resumed long ago and jodi remitted.
Do No 26 C.No 3	"	9	4				Full jodi imposed.
Do No 165 C.No 2.	2	5	3				Reserved for survey. but — Do.
Do Nos 170 C.No 2	1	2	8				Do Full jodi imposed

"	"	9	4
"	3	7	10
"	1	2	8
"	"	4	8
"	"	4	8
"	1	9	8
"	"	9	4
"	"	11	8
"	1	2	8
"	"	14	"
"	"	4	8
"	1	13	2
"	"	9	4
"	1	7	4
"	1	2	8

As sum of Rs: 65-11-11 was entered from the beginning in the Jamabandi accounts as the amount of Cancellation and was omitted to be shown in the County jodi account, it was ordered, from 8 Rs 15-8-9 hereafter to be shown as Rs 65-11-11 from the beginning.

Byadara = hala	Oojare	Jungale Swami or Venkatramana = Swami.	Deva Vaya	"	4	8	
	Do	Chowdeswara Devar	Do	5	15	5	
Houlgurudurga.	Do	Karagadamma Devar	Do	"	9	4	
	Do	Karade Devar	Do	"	7	"	
	Ippady	"	Do	16	10	6	
	Benachikalla	"	Toty	1	2	8	"

				Rev No 44 C No 2	2	- 7 -	Full jodi is Rs 2-7 but in 1860 - 4 - 8 been deducted on chillar baab, this balance only impose
	-	4	8				
	5	15	5	D & No 44 C No 5	5	13 2	Full jodi imposed, in the stall inam account Jodi 5-15-5 is entered, but in the chittas 5-13-2 only is entered; the latter adopted.
	-	9	4	D No 44 C No 8	1	- 3	The full jodi as per stall inam account is Rs 1-7-3 but in 18 - 7 - deducted under chiller baab-vide chittas
	-	7	-	D & No 45 C No 9	1	- 3	The full jodi 1-7-3, but in 1860 - 7 - deducted under chiller head.
	16	0	6				It is not known to which inam this account belongs.
	1	2	8	D No 176 C No 1		- 14 -	Reserved for survey and the jodi is imposed as per stall account and chittas.

Byadarahalli	Chowdaca = Kuppe	Anjaneya	Devadaya	"	14	"	"
	Yellekadakalla	Toty	Toty	"	9	4	"
23								
Hutridurga	Hutridurga	Basavanna	Devadaya	2	5	4	"
	Sinappana = halli	Dhobi	Dhobi	2	5	4	"
	Do.	Carpenter	Carpenter	"	4	8	"
	Hatti	Hanumanta	Devadaya	6	15	9	"
		not Devar Sivlu		2	12	0	"
	Kalanayakana halli.	— Do —	Toti	"	4	8	"
	Halvagal		Do	"	4	8	"

Moukyur Durga

				Regr 2246 c.no 1	"	11	" Full jodi imposed
"	"	11	"				
"	"	9	4	Do no 74 c.no 1	"	9	4 Reserved for Survey and full jodi imposed.
"	2	5	4	Do no 54 c.no 3	1	11	11 Full jodi imposed as per tkalika account & Chitta
"	2	5	4	Do no 157 c.no 2	1	15	5 Jodi 1-15-5 imposed as per collection and the balance 5-11-4 is permitted as it is not collected from the beginning.
"	"	4	8	Do no 157 c.no 1	"	4	8 Full jodi imposed.
"	6	15	9	Do no 63 c.no 1	6	15	9 Full jodi imposed.
"	2	12	8				not mentioned
"	"	4	8	Do no 155 c.no 2	1	7	3 Reserved for survey settlement & the full jodi as per tkalika in am account Rs 1-11-11, but as per chitta Rs 1-7-3, which is only adopted.
"	"	4	8				This is not brought on Register.

Amrutur	Jumagere	Rameswara	Devadaya	8	14	"
	Sapaba	Not given	Neergunta	"	2	4
	Tariballi	"	Do	"	14	"
	Kuntanahalli		Do	8	4	8
	Gachanahalli		Do	"	9	4
	Hatakere	"	Dhobi	2	3	8
Harikyer Division						
	Do	Do	Hajam	2	5	8
		Total		81	4	8

Grand Total of the District

"	8	14	"	Reg^r No 86 C. No 1				The dry fields 48-66 104-66 are resumed and the Jodi remitted therein.
"	"	2	4	184				No A brought on Reg^r as it was alrea.^y added to Government ayakat.
"	"	14	"	180				Do — Do.
"	8	4	8	184				Do — Do.
"	"	9	4					Do — Do
"	2	5	8	Reg^r No 185 C. No 1	2	7	7	The Jodi 2-7-7 imposed as per Chitta which is higher than the amount noted in the State inam account.
"	2	5	8	Do No 185 C. No 2	2	5	3	Full Jodi imposed.

† Particulars

31-11-5	Jodi re-imposed on inam cases — 16
3-2-3	Jodi accepted & which was already remitted by Rev: Department on account of the deduction of chiller bab
41-3-"	Jodi on resumed, struck off & inams 85 3.
5-4-"	Do on inams reserved for Survey settlement — 7.

81	4	8	
303	5	11	

	cases	Rs	A.	P.
Grand Total	154.	303.	5.	11.

Particulars of settlement in the Inam

Jodi on inams resumed & struck off, and omitted to be brought on Register	69	186	8	10
Jodi on Service Inams preserved for Survey settlement.	41	49	7	9
Jodi on Inams re-imposed	17	32	7	1
Jodi remitted on a Subsequent Inam in a Jodi Village; which Inam's assessment was included in the assessments of the Jodi Village	1	"	9	4
The Jodi already remitted on a few inams by the Revenue Department for several reasons, such as				
I. As the former rate was too high.	26	34	4	11.
II. As the inam land had been left waste was unfit for Cultivation.				
III. As the Bagael trees were less than those entered in the former account.				
	154.	303.	5.	11.

Inam Sett Office.
Camp Mlavangala
14th Sept 1875

B. L. R.
Supdt of Inam Setts

ich has not been collected & the Jodi imposed during the

o = Statement.

Under Collection.	Balance	Purport of Remarks.	No. of Reg. and case.	Amount of Jodi imposed.	Remarks.
	9		10	11	


Department.
78

No. 972.

Inám Settlement Office
Nelavangala 14th Septr.

To,

The Secretary to the Chief Commissioner
of Mysore

Sir,

In reply to your No. 3471/101 of the 24th July 1875, and in continuation of my letter therein alluded to, I have the honor to submit a statement showing how the un-collected jodi on the Chor Inams in the Tumkur District had been dealt with by this Department; Column 9 gives the purport of the remarks entered by the Dy. Commissioner opposite each Inam, and Column 12 the final disposal by this Department of the aggregate sum of Rs: 3035-11 as noted in the Extract, which was forwarded with Your No. 739/14 of the 13th May 1873.

2. In the "Extract" alluded to, the sum of un-collected

un-collected Jodi for the Kolar & Bangalore
istricts is also given. The latter District
only now under settlement, and I am
at aware whether a detailed Statement
ich as has now been submitted for the
umkur District, is desired by you
or the Kolar District, or whether you
ish for information regarding the
neral mode of treatment adopted for
ch cases, as called for in para 1
of your No. 984/30 of the 7th May 1875. I
would solicit Your instructions on this
point.

3. With reference to that para, I
would beg to state that such Inams were
not resumed, and that no directions were
issued by this Department for the arrears
of the un-collected Jodi, which were left
to be disposed of by the Revenue
Authorities. This is also a reply to

Your

Your No. 7190/328 of the 31st March 187-
the Enquiry in which does not appear
to have been rightly apprehended.

4. With regard to the concluding part
of the Docket now under reply, I have
to state that d. (e) of the letter alluded to
bears reference to those Inams in
which we found that the Jodi paid
for many years past was either more
or less than that entered in the accounts
adopted for our Settlement.

Soliciting an early reply to para 2
of this letter.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most Obt Servt

Supt of Inam Settlt

QR
Tok

Read

Letter No. 234 d/ 12th March 1874 from the Commr. Nandy Droog Divn
" No 17 d/ 26 April 1875 from Do
" No 37 d/ 8th July 1875 from the Supdt of Inam Settlt
" No 72 d/ 14 Septr 1875 from Do

No 7149
R 193 d/ 7 Dec

Order thereon.

1. With the last of the letters above read, the Supdt of the Inam Settlement submits a Statement shewing the result of the Settlement by the Inam Depty of Collectors in the Tankur Distt.

	Particulars.	No. of cases	Amount.		
1	Jodi on Inams resumed and struck off and omitted to be brought on Register	69	186	8	10
2	Jodi on Service Inams reserved for Survey Settlement	41	49	7	9
3	Jodi on inams re-imposed	17	32	7	1
4	Jodi remitted on a subsequent Inam in a Jodi village which Inam assessment was included in the assessments of the Jodi village	1	"	9	4
5	Jodi already remitted on a few inams by the Revenue Department for several reasons, such as:— (a) that the former rate was too high. (b) that the Inam land had been left waste and was unfit for cultivation (c) that the Bagayat trees were less than those entered in the former account	26	34	4	11
	Total	154	303	5	11

2. The Inam Supdt is requested to forward a statement to the Commr Kurdwaad Dn showing details of items Nos 1, 4 & 5, upon which Pots should be struck off in the Revenue accounts.

83

3. The arrears of Pots upon items forming Nos 2 and 3 should be collected from the year 1872-73 as directed in para 5 of the Chiefdom Proceedings No 711/27 of 12th May 1873.

4. In the case of inams of village servants referred to the Survey for Resumption and ~~which have~~ not ~~been~~ settled ~~by that Deptt~~, the old Mynil Pots and the arrears should not be collected when those servants enjoy exemption from the payment in consideration of service rendered

Rev.

To the Rt Rev Imam Sahib

Sir

By Secy

No 7169
R 193

Rec'd 4½ P.M.
" 7-12-15

Ackt of Chor Imams in the Imamkhana &
Passing orders on
Cores. on the subject

Proceedings of the Chief Commissioner, Department of Revenue, Agriculture and Commerce, dated 1875.

READ—

Letter No. 234, dated 12th March 1874, from ... Nundydroog Division.
" " 17, dated 26th April 1875, from ... do
" " 37, dated 8th July 1875, from the ... Inam Settlements.
" " 72, dated 14th September 18... do

No. 7149—REV. 193, DATED ...TH DECEMBER 1875.

ORDER THEREON.—With the last of the above read, the Superintendent of the Inam Settlements submits a statement shewing the result of the settlement by the Inam Department of Chor inams in the Túmkúr [illegible]

	Particulars.	No. of Cases.	Amount.		
1	Jodi on inams resumed and struck off and omitted to ... Register ...	69	186	8	10
2	" on service inams reserved for Survey Settlement	41	49	7	9
3	" on inams re-imposed	17	32	7	1
4	" remitted on a subsequent inam in a jodi w... assessment was included in the assessments of the jodi villa...	1	0	9	4
5	" already remitted on a re... inams by the Re... ...nt for several reasons, such as :— (a)—That the former rate was too high. (b)—That the inam land had been left wi... t for cultivation. (c)—That the Bagayat-trees were less tha... the former account	26	34	4	11
	Total.....	154	303	5	11

2. The Inam Superintendent is ... forward a statement to the Commissioner, Nundydroog Division, shewing ... ms Nos. 1, 4 and 5, upon which jodi should be struck off in the Revenue acc...

3. The arrears of jodi upon inams ... s. 2 and 3 should be collected from the year 1872—73, as directed in para ... Chief Commissioner's Proceedings No. 711—27, dated 12th May 1873.

4. In the case of inams of village s... ferred to the Survey Department for disposal and not yet settled, the original jo... arrears should not be collected when those servants enjoy exemption from the ... in consideration of service rendered by them to the State. Where such is the ca... rear may be struck off, and the old jodi brought into the demand but remitt... year pending the final settlement of their inams by the Survey Department.

5. On 26 cases, old jodi amounting ... 34—4—11 forming item No. 5 above, was not recognized by the Inam Depart... it had been remitted under the minor heads (*a*) (*b*) and (*c*) by the Revenue aut... previous to the commencement of the Inam operations. It is not stated if the ... in these cases was granted with the sanction of the Chief Commissioner whi... The attention of the Commissioner is drawn to this point.

6. A statement similar to that ... mitted and transcribed in para 1 above should be furnished by the Superintende... m Settlements for the Kolar District.

Offg. Secretary.

To—The Superintendent of Inam Settlements.
" Commissioner, Nundydroog Division.
" Survey and Inam Commissioner.

1875.

GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE.

REVENUE 88

THE SUPT., INAM SETTLEMENTS.

Dated December 7th.
Despatched 10-
Received

No. 7149.
R. 193.

Settlement of Chor Inams in the Túmkúr District.—Passing orders on correspondence the subject.